

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 5149 SB	<b>Title:</b> Paid family leave	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	---------------------------------	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
General Fund-State 001-1	690	690	1,380	1,380	1,380
Counties	5,310	5,310	10,620	10,620	10,620
Cities					
<b>Total \$</b>	6,000	6,000	12,000	12,000	12,000

### Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
County FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
City FTE Staff Years					
<b>Account</b>					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Richard Rodger	Phone: (360)786-7461	Date: 01/12/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 01/17/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/17/2017
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # 5149 SB-1

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would add a new section to 49.78 that would allow the department of employment security to file with the superior court clerk of any county within the state a warrant in the amount of the overpayment assessment plus a filing fee.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

Based on input from the lead agency, employment security department, it is estimated that 25 claims per month would be filed with the superior court. The filing fee is \$20 and the split is 88.5% to county and 11.5% to state. If there are 25 claims per month, that would equal about 300 cases per year. The estimated increased revenue would be \$6,000 (300 x \$200) with \$5,310 remaining with the county and \$699 to the state.

### II. C - Expenditures

There is minimal cost to the clerks for the collection of the fee and no cost to the courts for the filing of the warrant. There is no data to determine how many of the cases would require a hearing. It would require 165 hearings to reach \$50,000 in judicial costs.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
<b>Total \$</b>					

**Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**